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1. Introduction.

The purpose of this note is to present the solution of the following complex moment problem:

For which infinite matrices \( (a_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^\infty \) does there exist a positive Borel measure \( \mu \) on the closed unit disc \( D \) in the complex plane such that

\[
(1.1) \quad a_{m,n} = \int_D z^m \overline{z}^n \, d\mu(z)
\]

holds for all non-negative integers \( m, n \)?

In § 2 we give the complete solution of this problem, and in § 3 we deduce, as corollaries, known solutions of the trigonometric moment problem and the power moment problems for the intervals \([0,1]\) and \([-1,1]\).

Our interest in the moment problem (1.1) arose from the observation that the invariant subspace problem for bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space
is equivalent to the following problem:

Let \( A = (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) be an infinite matrix which satisfies the following two conditions:

\[
\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} w_m \bar{w}_n > 0
\]

and

\[
\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{m,n} - \alpha_{m+1,n+1}) w_m \bar{w}_n \geq 0
\]

for every non zero sequence \( (w_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) of complex numbers which has only finitely many non zero terms.

Let \( P \) denote the vector space of all polynomials with complex coefficients, and define on it the inner product

\[
\langle p, q \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_{m,n} b_m \bar{c}_n
\]

where \( p(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} b_m z^m \) and \( q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} c_n z^n \).

It follows from (1.2) that with this inner product \( P \) forms a pre-Hilbert space, and we denote by \( H_A \) the Hilbert space obtained as its completion. Let \( S \) denote the operator of multiplication by \( z \) on \( P \), that is, \( Sp(z) = z p(z) \) for \( p \in P \).

Condition (1.3) implies that \( \|S\| \leq 1 \), and therefore, since \( P \) is dense in \( H_A \), \( S \) admits a unique extension to a bounded linear operator on \( H_A \) with the same norm, which we shall also denote by \( S \).

The problem which is equivalent to the general invariant problem is as follows:

For every matrix \( A = (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) which satisfies (1.2) and (1.3) does the associated operator \( S \) have a non trivial invariant subspace?
Indeed, assume that there exists a linear operator $T$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, such that $\|T\| \leq 1$, which has no non trivial invariant subspace, and let $x$ be any non zero element of $\mathcal{H}$. Define the matrix $A = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ by:

$$a_{m,n} = \langle T^m x, T^n x \rangle, \quad m,n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

The assumptions on $T$ imply that the matrix $A$ satisfies conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Let $S$ be the operator associated with $A$ as before, and consider the isometric linear transformation $U$ of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}$ which is defined on the dense subspace $\mathcal{P}$ by $U_p = p(T)x$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then $S = U^{-1}TU$, and therefore if $T$ has no non trivial invariant subspace, neither has $S$.

In view of this observation, it is of interest to consider matrices $(a_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ for which (1.2) and (1.3) hold, and to find further conditions on these matrices which imply that the associated operator $S$ has a non trivial invariant subspace. In the particular case in which $(a_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies condition (1.1) for some positive Borel measure $\mu$ on the closed unit disc, then conditions (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied, and $\mathcal{H}_A$ can be identified with $H^2(d\mu)$ - the closure of $\mathcal{P}$ in $L^2(d\mu)$ - and the associated operator $S$ is now multiplication by $z$ on $H^2(d\mu)$. It is worth noting that the problem of whether for every positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ the operator of multiplication by $z$ on $H^2(d\mu)$ has a non trivial invariant subspace is still open, and is equivalent to the invariant subspace problem for sub-normal operators. (We learned this from A. Shields. See also [5] p. 192 for further details).

In the particular cases when $(a_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Toeplitz matrix or a Hankel matrix, which satisfies conditions (1.2) and (1.3), the operator $S$, associated with
this matrix, has a non trivial invariant subspace whether or not the moment problem
(1.1) is soluble for the matrix \((\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\). This can be seen as follows: Let \(\ell_0\)
be the polynomial which is identically equal to 1, and consider the closed subspace
\(M\) of \(H_A\) spanned by the vectors \(S^n\ell_0, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\). If \(M \neq H_A\) then \(M\) is
a non trivial invariant subspace for \(S\), and if \(M = H_A\) it is easily verified that in
the case of Toeplitz matrices \(S\) is unitary and in the case of Hankel matrices \(S\)
is self-adjoint. Thus by well known results \(S\) has a non trivial invariant subspace
also in this case. Finally, we remark that \(S\) has obviously a non trivial invariant
subspace when \((\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\) is a diagonal matrix.

2. Solution of the moment problem.

The general solution of the moment problem (1.1) is given by the following propo-
position:

Theorem 2.1. Let \((\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\) be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. Then
condition (1.1) holds for some positive Borel measure \(\mu\) on the closed unit disc if
and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

\[
(2.1) \quad \sum_{m,n,j,k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m+j,n+k} C_{n,j} \bar{C}_{m,k} \geq 0
\]

for every matrix \((c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{\infty}\) with only finitely many non zero entries

\[
(2.2) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{m,n} - \alpha_{m+1,n+1})w_{m,n} \bar{w}_{m,n} \geq 0
\]

for every sequence \((w_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) with only finitely many non zero terms.

Proof. Assume first that \((\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\) satisfies condition (1.1) for some
positive Borel measure $\mu$ on the closed unit disc $D$ and let $(c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty$ be an infinite matrix such that for some positive integers $M$ and $N$, $c_{j,k} = 0$ if $j > M$ or $k > N$. Consider the polynomials: $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N c_{n,k} z^k$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M$. It follows that

$$\sum_{m,n,j,k=0}^\infty \alpha_{m+j,n+k} c_{n,j} \overline{c}_{m,k} = \int_D \frac{\left| \sum_{n=0}^M z^n \overline{p_n(z)} \right|^2}{d\mu(z)} \geq 0$$

which proves that (2.1) holds.

To show that (1.1) implies (2.2) consider a sequence of complex numbers $(w_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ which has only finitely many non zero terms. Then

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \alpha_{m+1,n+1} w_m \overline{w_n} = \int_D \left| \sum_{n=0}^\infty w_n z^{n+1} \right|^2 d\mu(z) \leq \int_D \left| \sum_{n=0}^\infty w_n z^n \right|^2 d\mu(z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \alpha_{m,n} w_m \overline{w}_n.$$  

Hence (2.2) holds.

We turn now to the proof that conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are sufficient for (1.1) to be satisfied for some positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $D$. Observe first that (2.1) implies that for any sequence of complex numbers $(w_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ which has only finitely many non zero terms,

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \alpha_{m,n} w_m \overline{w}_n \geq 0.$$  

Indeed, (2.3) is obtained by applying (2.1) with the matrix $(c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty$ defined by $c_{n,0} = w_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and $c_{n,k} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

We shall now show that (1.1) holds under the additional assumption that whenever
is not the zero sequence, the inequality in (2.3) is strict, that is, we assume that \((\lambda_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\) satisfies also condition (1.2). It will be clear from the proof that the general case can be established in the same way, using only (2.3), by an obvious quotient space argument.

Let now \(H_A\) be the Hilbert space associated with the matrix \((\lambda_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\) and let \(S\) be the corresponding operator as defined in \(\S\) 1. It is easily verified that condition (2.2) is equivalent to the condition

\[
(2.4) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\ell} \langle S^n p_m, S^m p_n \rangle \geq 0
\]

for any finite set of polynomials \(p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\). Since the polynomials are dense in \(H_A\) it follows from (2.4) that

\[
(2.5) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\ell} \langle S^n f_m, S^m f_n \rangle \geq 0
\]

for any finite set \(f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_\ell\) in \(H_A\). By a theorem of J. Bram [3, Theorem 1], condition (2.5) implies that \(S\) is a subnormal operator, that is, \(S\) can be extended to a normal operator \(K\) on a Hilbert space \(H\) which contains \(H_A\) as a closed subspace. Moreover, we may also assume that \(\|S\| = \|K\|\) [3, p. 81, Lemma 2], and since \(\|S\| \leq 1\) we also have \(\|K\| \leq 1\). Let \(E\) be the spectral measure associated with \(K\) by the spectral theorem for normal operators [4, p. 71]. Let \(\ell_o\) be the element of \(\text{IP}\) which is identically equal to 1, and consider the positive Borel measure \(\mu\) defined by \(\mu(B) = \langle E(B) \ell_o, \ell_o \rangle\) for every Borel set \(B\) in the plane. We claim now that this measure satisfies the required conditions.

First, since \(\mu\) is supported by the spectrum of \(K\) and \(\|K\| \leq 1\), the
support of $\mu$ is contained in the closed unit disc. Secondly, it follows from the well-known properties of spectral measures [4, p. 61] that for all $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$,

$$\langle p(k) \ell_o, q(k) \ell_o \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} p(z) \overline{q(z)} \, d\mu(z),$$

and therefore since $K$ is an extension of $S$ we obtain that

$$\alpha_{m,n} = \langle S^m \ell_o, S^n \ell_o \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} z^m \overline{z^n} \, d\mu(z).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Remarks.**

1. Since every continuous function in the closed unit disc is a uniform limit of polynomials in $z$ and $\overline{z}$, it follows that the solution of the moment problem (1.1), whenever it exists, is unique.

2. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and the fact that a polynomial in one variable has only finitely many zeros, that the measure $\mu$ which satisfies (1.1) has an infinite support if and only if the inequality (2.1) is strict for every non zero matrix $(c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty$ with only finitely many non zero entries.

3. One might be tempted to conjecture that condition (2.1) can be replaced by condition (2.3) in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. That this is not the case can be seen from the following example: Consider the matrix $(\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^\infty$ defined by $\alpha_{0,0} = \alpha_{1,1} = 2, \alpha_{n,n} = 1$ for $n > 1$ and $\alpha_{m,n} = 0$ for $m \neq n$. Then conditions (2.1) and (2.3) are satisfied but condition (2.1) is violated by the matrix $(c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty$ given by $c_{0,0} = 1, c_{1,1} = -2$ and $c_{j,k} = 0$ otherwise.
3. Application to the trigonometric and power moment problems.

In order to apply Theorem 2.1 to the trigonometric and power moment problems we first need two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let \((\alpha_{m,n})^\infty_{m,n=0}\) be a matrix for which there exists a positive measure \(\mu\) on the closed unit disc such that (1.1) holds. Then:

(a) If \((\alpha_{m,n})^\infty_{m,n=0}\) is a Toeplitz matrix, that is, \(\alpha_{m,n} = \alpha_{m-n,0}\) for \(m \geq n\), the support of \(\mu\) is contained in the unit circle \(\{z : |z| = 1\}\).

(b) If \((\alpha_{m,n})^\infty_{m,n=0}\) is a Henkel matrix, that is, \(\alpha_{m,n} = \alpha_{m-n,0}\) for \(m,n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), then the support of \(\mu\) is contained in the interval \([-1, 1]\).

(c) If \((\alpha_{m,n})^\infty_{m,n=0}\) is a diagonal matrix that is \(\alpha_{m,n} = 0\) for \(m \neq n\), then using the representation of \(D\) in polar coordinates \(D = \{(r, \theta) : 0 \leq r \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}\), we have \(\mu = \nu \times d\theta\) where \(\nu\) is a positive Borel measure on \([0, 1]\), and \(d\theta\) denotes Lebesgue measure on \([0, 2\pi]\).

Proof. (a) Using the assumption for \(m = n = 1\) we see that \(\int_D (1 - |z|^2) \, d\mu(z) = 0\), and therefore since \(\mu\) is a positive measure its support is contained in \(\{z : |z| = 1\}\).

(b) Using again the hypothesis for \(m = n = 1\) we obtain that \(\int_D (|z|^2 - z^2) \, d\mu(z) = 0\), hence writing \(z = x + iy\) we infer that \(\int_D y^2 \, d\mu(x, y) = 0\). Consequently, since \(\mu\) is a positive measure its support is contained in \([-1, 1]\).

(c) Let \(\nu\) be the positive Borel measure on \([0, 1]\) defined by \(\nu(B) = 2\pi \mu(B \times [0, 2\pi])\) for every Borel set \(B\) in \([0, 1]\). We claim that \(\mu = \nu \times d\theta\). Since the polynomials in \(z\) and \(\bar{z}\) are dense in the space of continuous
functions on \( D \), it suffices to prove that for every pair of non negative integers \( m, n \) we have:

\[
(3.1) \quad \int_D z^m \overline{z}^n \, d\mu(z) = \int_D z^m \overline{z}^n \, d\nu \times d\theta.
\]

Now for \( m = n \), the definition of \( \nu \) implies that

\[
\int_D z^m \overline{z}^n \, d\mu(z) = \int_D r^{2m} \, d\mu(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} r^{2m} \, d\nu(z) = \int_D z^m \overline{z}^n \, d\nu \times d\theta
\]

and for \( m \neq n \) it follows from the hypothesis and the fact that \( \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ik\theta} \, d\theta = 0 \) for \( k \neq 0 \), that both sides of (3.1) vanish. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3.2.

(a) Let \( (b_n^*)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be a two sided infinite sequence of complex numbers, and define the Toeplitz matrix \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) by \( \alpha_{m,n} = b_{n-m}^* \), \( m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Then \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) if and only if

\[
(3.2) \quad \sum_{p, q=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{p-q}^* w_p \bar{w}_q \geq 0
\]

holds for any sequence \( (w_n^*)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \) with only finitely many non zero terms.

(b) Let \( (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be an infinite sequence of complex numbers and define the Hankel matrix \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) by \( \alpha_{m,n} = b_{m+n} \), \( m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Then \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

\[
(3.3) \quad \sum b_{p+q} w_p \bar{w}_q \geq 0
\]

and

\[
(3.4) \quad \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} (b_{p+q} - b_{p+q+2}) w_p \bar{w}_q \geq 0
\]
for any sequence \( (w_n)_{n=0}^\infty \) of complex numbers with only finitely many non zero terms.

(c) Let \( (b_n)_{n=0}^\infty \) be an infinite sequence of complex numbers and define the diagonal matrix \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^\infty \) by \( \alpha_{m,n} = b_n \) for \( m = n \) and \( \alpha_{m,n} = 0 \) for \( m \neq n \).

Then \( (\alpha_{m,n})_{m,n=0}^\infty \) satisfies (2.1) and (2.2) if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

\[ (3.5) \quad \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p+q+1} w_p w_q \geq 0 \]

and

\[ (3.6) \quad \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p+q+1} w_p w_q \geq 0 \]

for any sequence \( (w_n)_{n=0}^\infty \) of complex numbers with only finitely many non zero terms.

**Proof.** (a) We show first that (2.1) implies (3.2). Let \( (w_n)_{n=-\infty}^\infty \) be a sequence with only finitely non zero terms and consider the matrix \( (c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty \) defined by:

\[ c_{0,p} = w_{-p}, \quad c_{p,0} = w_p, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \quad \text{and} \quad c_{j,k} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}. \]

A direct computation then shows that

\[ \sum_{p,q=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{p-q} w_p \bar{w}_q = \sum_{m,j,n,k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m+j,n+k} c_{n,j} \bar{c}_{m,k}. \]

Therefore (3.2) follows from (2.2). Conversely, to show that (3.2) implies (2.2), consider any matrix \( (c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty \) with only finitely many non zero entries and put

\[ c_{-n,m} = 0, \quad m,n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Defining \( w_p = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{p+j,j}, \) \( p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \) we obtain that

\[ \sum_{m,j,n,k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m+j,n+k} c_{n,j} \bar{c}_{m,k} = \sum_{p,q=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{p-q} w_p \bar{w}_q. \]

Hence (3.2) implies (2.1). Finally, since (2.2) is clearly satisfied for any Toeplitz.
matrix, the proof of (a) is complete.

(b) First notice that (3.4) is equivalent to (2.2) and that (3.3) follows from (2.3) hence from (2.1). It therefore remains to prove that (3.3) implies (2.1). Let

\[
(c_j)^{j,k}_{j,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{p,j,j} \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

be any infinite matrix with only finitely many non-zero entries, and define

\[
w_p = \sum_{j=0}^{p} c_{p,j,j} \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

A direct computation then shows that

\[
\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p+q} w_p w_q = \sum_{m,n,j,k} \alpha_{m+j,n+k} c_{n,j} c_{m,k}.
\]

Hence (2.1) follows from (3.3).

(c) By adding (3.5) and (3.6) we see that

\[
(3.7) \quad \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p+q} w_p w_q \geq 0
\]

for any sequence \( (w_p)^{p=0}_{p=0} \) with only finitely many non-zero terms. We claim now that for any such sequence \( (w_p)^{p=0}_{p=0} \) we also have

\[
(3.8) \quad \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{m+p+q} w_p w_q \geq 0
\]

for every non-negative integer \( m \).

Indeed, assuming first that \( m = 2k \) for some integer \( k \), it follows that

\[
\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{m+p+q} w_p w_q = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{p+q} w_{p-k} w_{q-k}
\]

where we define \( w_{-n} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Consequently (3.8) follows from (3.7) for \( m \) even. Similarly (3.5) implies (3.8) for \( m \) odd.

To prove that (3.8) implies (2.1), consider any matrix \( (c_j)^{j,k}_{j,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{p,j,j} \) with only finitely many non-zero terms. Using the assumption that \( (\alpha_{m,n})^{m,n=0}_{m,n=0} \) is diagonal we obtain that
\[ \sum_{m,j,k=0}^{\infty} a_{m+j,n+k} c_{m,j} \bar{c}_{m,k} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} b_{m+p+q} c_{m,p} \bar{c}_{n,q}. \]

Hence (3.8) implies (2.1). Using (2.1) with matrices \( (c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( c_{j,k} = 0 \) for \( j \neq 1 \) we see that (2.1) implies (3.5). Finally since (3.6) is equivalent to (2.2), the proof of the lemma is complete.

We recall that a two sided infinite sequence \( (b_n)_{n=\infty} \) is called a trigonometric moment sequence if there exists a positive Borel measure \( \mu \) on the unit circle

\[ T = \{ z : |z| = 1 \} \text{ such that } b_n = \int_T e^{-int} d\mu(t), \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \]

A sequence \( (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is called a power moment sequence for the interval \([a,b]\) on the real line, if there exists a positive Borel measure \( \mu \) on \([a,b]\) such that:

\[ b_n = \int_a^b t^n d\mu(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

An obvious application of Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2

Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 now yields:

Proposition 3.3.

(a) A sequence \( (b_n)_{n=\infty}^{\infty} \) is a trigonometric moment sequence if and only if it satisfies condition (3.2).

(b) A sequence \( (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is a power moment sequence for the interval \([-1,1]\) if and only if it satisfies (3.3) and (3.4).

(c) A sequence \( (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is a power moment sequence for the interval \([0,1]\) if and only if it satisfies (3.5) and (3.6).
Part (a) of the proposition is the well known theorem of Herglotz on the characterization of trigonometric moment sequences.

Part (b) of the proposition is proved by Akhiezer and Krein [2, p. 35, Theorem 19] for finite sequences of the form \( \sum_{k=0}^{2m} b_k^2 \). Since an infinite sequence \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k^2 \) is a power moment sequence for a given interval if and only if for every \( m \) the sequence \( \sum_{k=0}^{2m} b_k^2 \) is a finite moment sequence on that interval, it follows that the two conditions are equivalent for infinite sequences.

Part (c) of the proposition is a known theorem, but we are unable to determine its origin. See however Akhieser [1, p. 74].

For the power moment sequences for the interval \([0, 1]\) we also have the well known characterization of Hausdorff (see [1, p. 74]). It seems that there is no direct way to prove that the two conditions are equivalent.
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